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Necessary conditions are found for weighted mean convergence of Fourier series in orthogonal polynomials corresponding to measures $d \alpha$ with support [ $-1,1$ ] for which $\alpha^{\prime}>0$ almost everywhere in $[-1,1]$. Some additional properties of such orthogonal polynomials are also proved. © 1986 Academic Press, Inc.

Let $d \alpha$ be a finite positive Borel measure on the real line such that $\operatorname{supp}(d \alpha)$ is an infinite set and let $p_{n}(d \alpha)$ denote the corresponding orthonormal polynomials. For $f \in L_{d \alpha}^{1}$ let $S_{n}(d \alpha, f)$ denote the $n$th partial sum of the orthogonal Fourier expansion of $f$ in $\left\{p_{k}(d \alpha)\right\}$, that is,

$$
S_{n}(d \alpha, f)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} c_{k} p_{k}(d \alpha), \quad c_{k}=\int_{-1}^{1} f p_{k}(d \alpha) d \alpha
$$

* This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grants MCS 8100673 (first author) and MCS-83-00882 (second author) and by the PSC CUNY Research Award Program of the City University of New York under Grant 662043 (first author). The third author made his contributions while visiting the Ohio State University.

It is well known [18] that $S_{n}(d x, f) \rightarrow f$ in $L_{d x}^{2}$ as $n \rightarrow x$ for avery $f \in L_{d x}^{2}$ if and only if the moment problem for $d x$ possesses a unique solution, and the latter is certainly the case whenever $\operatorname{supp}(d x)$ is bounded. The problem of weighted mean convergence of $S_{n}(d \alpha, f)$ to $f$ in spaces different from $L_{d x}^{2}$ has not yet been resolved with the exception of some specific orthogonal polynomial systems. For example, if $d x$ and $d \beta$ are generalized Jacobi measures, then Badkov [4,5] gave necessary and sufficient conditions for $L_{d \beta}^{p}$ convergence of $S_{n}(d x, f)$ to $f$ for every $f \in L_{d \beta}^{p}$. Badkov's results generalize earlier ones by Riesz [17], Poilard [14 16], Wing [20], Newman and Rudin [13], Muckenhoupt [9], Askey [1], and Badkov [3]. Orthogonal Hermite and Laguerre scrics were investigated in Askey and Wainger [2] and Muckenhoupt [10,11]. In [12] one of us found necessary conditions for $L_{d \beta}^{p}$ convergence of $S_{n}(d x, f)$ when $d \alpha$ belongs to the Szegö class [19], that is, when $\operatorname{supp}(d x)=[-1,1]$ and $\log x^{\prime}(\cos \theta) \in$ $L^{i}[0, \pi]$. In the particular case when $d x$ and $d \beta$ are generalized Jacobi measures, these conditions turn out to be sufficient as well [4]. In our recent papers $[7,8]$ we laid foundation to a theory of orhogonal polynomials that extends Szegö's theory when $\log \alpha^{\prime}(\cos \theta) \in L^{1}[0 . \pi]$ is replaced by the weaker condition that $\alpha^{\prime}>0$ a.c. in $[-1,1]$. Our results enable us to prove the following generalization of Theorem 8.13 in $[12$, p. 154].

Theorfm 1. Let $x$ he such that $\operatorname{supp}(d x)=[-1,1]$ and $x^{\prime}>0$ almost everyhere in $[-1,1]$. Assume that $p$ and $q$ satisfy $0<p \leqslant x$ and $1 \leqslant q \leqslant x$. Let $u$ and $w$ be Borel-measurable functions such that neither of them vanishes almost everywhere in $[-1,1]$ and $u$ is finite on a set with positive Lebesgue measure. Write $q^{\prime}=q^{\prime}(q-1)$ and

$$
v(x)=\left(x^{\prime}(x) \sqrt{1-x^{2}}\right)^{1: 2}
$$

Suppose that for every function $f \in L_{d x}^{1}$ the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{i}^{1}\left|S_{n}(d x, f) w\right|^{p} d x\right)^{1 p} \leqslant C\left(\int_{1}^{1}|f u|^{4} d x\right)^{1: q} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all integers $n \geqslant 0$ with a finite constant $C$ independent of $n$ and $f(i f$ $f(x)=0$ and $u(x)=x$, then $f(x) u(x)=0$ is to be taken in the integral on the right-hand side). Then $w \in L_{d x}^{p}, u^{1} \in L_{d x}^{i}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{1}^{1} \mid w^{\prime} / v^{p} x^{\prime}\right)^{1 p}<x \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\emptyset_{1}^{1}|u v| q^{\prime} x^{\prime}\right)^{1 \cdot q^{\prime}}<x \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here and in what follows, for $p=\infty$ the expression $\left(\int|g|^{p} d \alpha\right)^{1 / p}$ means the $L_{d \alpha}^{\infty}$ norm of $g$. It may be worth pointing out that if $0<p<\infty$, $1<q<\infty$, and $p \leqslant q$ then in every known case (2) and (3) are also sufficient conditions for (1) to be satisfied (see, e.g., [4]).

Remark. We might as well allow that $C$ in (1) depend on $f$, but then, by the Banach-Steinhaus theorem (cf., e.g., [6, Theorem 2.1.11 on p. 52]), it could be replaced by a constant independent of $f$.

Theorem 1 easily follows from Theorem 2 below, but first we have to prove a

Lemma. Let $\operatorname{supp}(d \alpha)=[-1,1]$ and $\alpha^{\prime}>0$ a.e. in $[-1,1]$. For a given real $c$ and a nonnegative integer $n$ define the set $B_{c, n}(d \alpha)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{c, n}(d \alpha)=\left\{x: p_{n}^{2}(d \alpha, x) \alpha^{\prime}(x) \sqrt{1-x^{2}} \geqslant c\right\} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then for every $c>2 / \pi$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|B_{c, n}(d \alpha)\right|=0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $|E|$ denotes the Lebesgue measure of the set $E$.
Proof. Write

$$
\Omega_{n}(x)=p_{n}^{2}(x)-2 x p_{n}(x) p_{n-1}(x)+p_{n-1}^{2}(x)
$$

Then

$$
\Omega_{n}=\left(x p_{n}-p_{n-1}\right)^{2}+\left(1-x^{2}\right) p_{n}^{2}
$$

so that

$$
\left(1-x^{2}\right) p_{n}^{2}(x) \leqslant \Omega_{n}(x)
$$

Therefore, if $D_{c, n}(d \alpha)$ is defined by

$$
D_{c, n}(d \alpha)=\left\{x: \Omega_{n}(x) \alpha^{\prime}(x)\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2} \geqslant c\right\}
$$

then $B_{c, n} \subset D_{c, n}$. It was shown in [8, formula (10.3) after Theorem 10.1] that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-1}^{1}\left|\Omega_{n}(x) \alpha^{\prime}(x)-\frac{2}{\pi} \sqrt{1-x^{2}}\right| d x=0
$$

Hence, for $c>2 / \pi$

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{D_{c, n}}\left(\Omega_{n}(x) \alpha^{\prime}(x)-\frac{2}{\pi} \sqrt{1-x^{2}}\right) d x=0
$$

holds, so that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(c-\frac{2}{\pi}\right) \int_{D_{c, n}} \sqrt{1-x^{2}} d x=0
$$

from which

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|D_{c, n}\right|=0 \quad(c>2 / \pi)
$$

follows. Thus (5) must indeed hold.
Theorem 2. Let $\operatorname{supp}(d \alpha)=[-1,1], \alpha^{\prime}>0$ almost everywhere in $[-1,1]$, and suppose $0<p \leqslant \infty$. Put

$$
v(x)=\left(\alpha^{\prime}(x) \sqrt{1-x^{2}}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

If $g$ is a Lebesgue-measurable function in $[-1,1]$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{-1}^{1}|g / v|^{p}\right)^{1 / p} \leqslant \sqrt{\pi} 2^{\max \{1 / p-1 / 2,0\}} \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\int_{-1}^{1}\left|g p_{n}(d \alpha)\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / p} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\int_{-1}^{1}\left|g p_{n}(d \alpha)\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / p}=0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $g=0$ a.e.
Proof. First assume $0<p \leqslant 2$. Define $r_{n}$ and $h$ by

$$
r_{n}=v^{2} p_{n}^{2}(d \alpha)
$$

and

$$
h=(|g| / v)^{p}
$$

respectively. Let

$$
K=\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\int_{1}^{1}\left|g p_{n}(d x)\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / p}
$$

If $K=\infty$ then there is nothing to prove, so assume $K<\infty$. Then

$$
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-1}^{1} h r_{n}^{p / 2}=K^{p}
$$

holds; therefore, if $h_{M}$ is defined by

$$
h_{M}(x)=\min \{h(x), M\}
$$

for $M>0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-1}^{1} h r_{n}^{p / 2} \leqslant K^{p} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

is satisfied as well. Fix $c>2 / \pi$. If $c>2 / \pi$. If $B_{c, n}$ is defined by (4) then (5) in the Lemma holds, and thus Theorem 13.2 of [8] implies

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_{c, n}} h_{M} r_{n}=0
$$

Applying (11.4) in Theorem 11.1 of [8], we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-1}^{1} h_{M} r_{n}=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} h_{M} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{[-1,1] \backslash B_{c, n}} h_{M} r_{n}=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} h_{M} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds as well. On the other hand,

$$
0 \leqslant r_{n}(x)<c
$$

is satisfied for $x \in[-1,1] \backslash B_{c, n}$, so that

$$
0 \leqslant c^{p / 2-1} r_{n} \leqslant r_{n}^{p / 2} \quad\left(x \in[-1,1] \backslash B_{c, n}\right)
$$

holds. Thus by (8) we have

$$
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{[-1,1] \backslash B_{c, n}} h_{M} r_{n} \leqslant c^{1-p / 2} K^{p},
$$

and combining this inequality with (10) we obtain

$$
\int_{-1}^{1} h_{M} \leqslant \pi c^{1-p / 2} K^{p}
$$

for every $M>0$ and $\varepsilon>0$. Letting $M \rightarrow \infty$ here and applying Legesgue's Monotone Convergence Theorem, and then makin $c \rightarrow 2 / \pi$, we can conclude that

$$
\left(\int_{-1}^{1} h\right)^{1 / p} \leqslant 2^{1 / p-1 / 2} \sqrt{\pi} K
$$

and so the theorem follows for $0<p \leqslant 2$. When $2<p<x$ we can proceed as follows (the arguments below closely parallel those given in the proof of Theorem 7.32 in [12, pp. 138-139]). Keeping the previously established notation, from Hölder's inequality we obtain

$$
\int_{-1}^{1} h_{M} r_{n}=\int_{1}^{1} h_{M}^{(p-2) ; p}\left(h_{M}^{2 / p} r_{n}\right) \leqslant\left(\prod_{1}^{1} h_{M}\right)^{(p-2) p}\left(\int_{1}^{1} h_{M} r_{n}^{p \cdot 2}\right)^{2 ; p}
$$

Hence

$$
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-1}^{1} h_{M} r_{n} \leqslant K^{2}\left(\int_{1}^{1} h_{M}\right)^{(p 21 p} .
$$

which together with (9) implies

$$
\left(\int_{1}^{1} h_{M}\right)^{1 / p} \leqslant \sqrt{\pi} K .
$$

Letting $M \rightarrow \infty$, Lebesgue's Monotone Convergence Theorem entails

$$
\left(\prod_{1}^{1}, h\right)^{1 / n} \leqslant \sqrt{\pi} K
$$

so that the theorem follows for $2<p<\infty$ as well. Finally, assume $p=\alpha$, and let $1<q<\infty$. Clearly, we have

$$
\left.\left(\int_{-1}^{1}|f|^{q}\right)^{1 \cdot q} \leqslant 2^{1 / q} \operatorname{ess} \sup _{[-1.1]}|f|=2^{1 / q}\left(\int^{1}, \mid f\right\}^{p}\right)^{1 / p},
$$

where the equation holds in view of the convention concerning the interpretation of the right-hand side for $p=x$. Therefore, inequality (6) with $q$ replacing $p$ implies

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\int_{-1}^{1}|g / v|^{q}\right)^{1 / q} \leqslant \sqrt{\pi} 2^{1 / q} \liminf _{n \cdot x}\left(\int_{:}^{1}\left|g p_{n}(d x)\right|^{p}\right)^{1: p} \\
(p=\infty, 1<q<\infty) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Making $q \rightarrow \infty$, inequality (6) follows for $p=\infty$ as well. Thus the proof of Theorem 2 is complete.

Proof of Theorem 1. For $n=0$, inequality (1) implics

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{-1}^{1}|w|^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p}\left|\int_{1}^{1} f d x\right| \leqslant C p_{0}^{2}\left(\int_{-1}^{1}|f u|^{4} d x\right)^{1 / q} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $f \in L_{d \alpha}^{1}$. Since $u$ is finite on a set of positive measure, we can find a Borel set $E$ and a positive number $N$ such that $d \alpha(E)>0$ and $u(x) \leqslant N$ for $x \in E$. If $f$ is the characteristic function of this set $E$ then (11) shows that $w \in L_{d x}^{p}$. If $1<q \leqslant \infty$ then we can apply (11) with $f=(|u|+\varepsilon)^{-q}$, where $\varepsilon>0$ and $q^{\prime}=q /(q-1)$; if we let $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, then $u^{-1} \in L_{d \alpha}^{q^{\prime}}$ will follow by Fatou's lemma. If $q=1$, then we apply (11) with $f=f_{n}$ being the characteristic function of the set where $\left|u^{-1}\right|>1 / n$; we obtain a contradiction unless $f_{n}=0$ a.e. for large enough $n$; thus, we can conclude that $u^{-1} \in L_{d \alpha}^{\infty}$. Thus we have $u^{-1} \in L_{d \alpha}^{q^{\prime}}$ for $1 \leqslant q \leqslant \infty$ ( $q$ is fixed), as claimed. Therefore $f=(f u) u^{-1} \in L_{d \alpha}^{1}$ also holds whenever $f u \in L_{d \alpha}^{q}(1 \leqslant q \leqslant \infty)$.

Moreover, it follows from (1) that

$$
\left(\int_{-1}^{1}\left|\left[S_{n}(f)-S_{n-1}(f)\right] w\right|^{p} d \alpha\right)^{1 / p} \leqslant 2^{1+1 / p} C\left(\int_{-1}^{1}|f u|^{q} d \alpha\right)^{1 / q}
$$

holds for $n \geqslant 1$ and $f \in L_{d \alpha}^{1}$. Hence we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{-1}^{1}\left|p_{n} w\right|^{p} d \alpha\right)^{1 / p}\left|\int_{-1}^{1} f p_{n} d \alpha\right| \leqslant 2^{1+1 / p} C\left(\int_{-1}^{1}|f u|^{q} d \alpha\right)^{1 / q} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $n \geqslant 1$ and $f \in L_{d x}^{1}$. Fix $n$ and choose $g$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g p_{n} \geqslant 0 \quad \text { and } \quad|g u|^{q}=\left|p_{n} u^{-1}\right|^{q^{\prime}} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e.,

$$
g=\left(\left|p_{n}\right|^{q^{\prime}} u^{-q-q^{\prime}}\right)^{1 / q} \quad(g(x)=0 \text { if } u(x)=\infty)
$$

Put $E=\{x \in[-1,1]: g(x) \neq 0\}$. Let $E_{k} \subset E$ be a Borel set and $h_{k}$ its characteristic function such that $h_{k}(x) \rightarrow 1$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ for $x \in E$ and $g u \in L_{d x}^{q}\left(E_{k}\right)$, i.e., $h_{k} g u \in L_{d x}^{q}[-1,1]$, for every $k$. Then $h_{k} g \in L_{d x}^{1}[-1,1]$ according to the last sentence of the preceding paragraph, i.e., (12) holds with $f=f_{k}=h_{k} g$. Noting that we have

$$
f_{k} p_{n}=\left|f_{k} u\right|\left|p_{n} u^{-1}\right|=\left|f_{k} u\right|^{q}=\left|p_{n} u^{-1}\right|^{q^{\prime}}
$$

on $E_{k}$ according to (13), the equality

$$
\int_{E_{k}} f_{k} p_{n} d \alpha=\left(\int_{E_{k}}\left|f_{k} u\right|^{q} d \alpha\right)^{1 / q}\left(\int_{E_{k}}\left|p_{n} u^{-1}\right|^{q^{\prime}} d \alpha\right)^{1 / q^{\prime}}
$$

holds. Thus (12) with $f=f_{k}$ implies

$$
\left(\int_{-1}^{1}\left|p_{n} w\right|^{p} d \alpha\right)^{1 / p}\left(\int_{E_{k}}\left|p_{n} u^{-1}\right|^{q^{\prime}} d \alpha\right)^{1 / q^{\prime}} \leqslant 2^{1+1 / p} C
$$

Making $k \rightarrow \infty$ and replacing $E$ with $[-1,1]$ in the second integral ( $u^{-1}=0$ outside $E$ ), we obtain

$$
\left(\int_{-1}^{1}\left|p_{n} w\right|^{p} d \alpha\right)^{1 / p}\left(\int_{-1}^{1}\left|p_{n} u^{-1}\right|^{q^{\prime}} d \alpha\right)^{1 / q^{\prime}} \leqslant 2^{1+1 / p} C
$$

for all $n \geqslant 1\left(q^{\prime}=q /(q-1)\right)$. By (7) in Theorem 2 this implies that

$$
\sup _{n \geqslant 1}\left(\int_{-1}^{1}\left|p_{n} w\right|^{p} d \alpha\right)^{1 / p}<\infty
$$

and

$$
\sup _{n \geqslant 1}\left(\int_{-1}^{1}\left|p_{n} u^{-1}\right| q^{\prime} d \alpha\right)^{1 / q^{\prime}}<\infty
$$

and now inequalities (2) and (3) follow from Theorem 2.
For orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle, the analogue of Theorem 2 can be derived without much difficulty from Theorem 2.1 of [8], and therefore one can easily formulate and prove a result similar to Theorem 1 for weighted mean boundedness of Fourier expansions in orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle. We lave leave the details to the reader.

We expect that Theorem 2 and the Lemma above will have further applications. In fact, we believe that these two statements will play a significant role in the extension of Szegö's theory we initiated in [7, 8]. An example is given by the following

Theorem 3. If $\operatorname{supp}(d x)=[-1,1]$ and $\alpha^{\prime}>0$ almost everywhere in $[-1,1]$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left|c_{k} p_{k}(d \alpha, x)\right| \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

either diverges almost everywhere or converges almost everywhere in $[-1,1]$, and in the latter case

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left|c_{k}\right|<\infty \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds as well.
Proof. By Theorem 2 with $p=1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{E}\left|p_{n}(d \alpha)\right| \geqslant \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{E} v^{-1}>0 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every set $E$ with positive Lebesgue measure. Now assuming that (14) converges on a set $E \subset[-1,1],|E|>0$, one can apply (16) and the usual arguments used to prove the Denjoy-Lusin theorem on absolute convergence of trigonometric series [21, p. 232]. These give (15), from which the convergence of (13) almost everywhere in $[-1,1]$ follows by Lebesgue's Monotone Convergence Theorem.
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